

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/761,907	KROLL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jessica L. Reidel	3766	

All Participants:

(1) Jessica L. Reidel.

(2) David S. Sarisky.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 December 2006

Time: 3:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1-4

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant and Examiner agreed to modify all instances of "the capacitor" to read "the shock capacitor" instead to add consistency to the claim language and to avoid antecedent basis problems.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)